

ON SUBALGEBRAS OF $n \times n$ MATRICES NOT SATISFYING IDENTITIES OF DEGREE $2n - 2$

DANIEL BIRMAJER

ABSTRACT. The Amitsur-Levitski theorem asserts that $M_n(F)$ satisfies a polynomial identity of degree $2n$. (Here, F is a field and $M_n(F)$ is the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices over F). It is easy to give examples of subalgebras of $M_n(F)$ that do satisfy an identity of lower degree and subalgebras of $M_n(F)$ that satisfy no polynomial identity of degree $\leq 2n - 2$. Our aim in this paper is to give a full classification of the subalgebras of $n \times n$ matrices that satisfy no nonzero polynomial of degree less than $2n$.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is concerned with $n \times n$ matrix subalgebras that do not satisfy a polynomial identity of degree $< 2n$.

To begin, let F be a field, $M_n(F)$ the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices over F , and $F\{X\} = F\{X_1, X_2, \dots\}$ the free associative algebra over F in countably many variables. A nonzero polynomial $f(X_1, \dots, X_m) \in F\{X\}$ is a *polynomial identity* for an F -algebra R (or, R *satisfies* f) if $f(r_1, \dots, r_m) = 0$ for all $r_1, \dots, r_m \in R$.

Kaplansky ([Ka48]) showed that if R satisfies a polynomial of degree d , then it satisfies a multilinear polynomial of degree d . The study of identities for R therefore reduces to the multilinear case. The standard polynomial of degree t is

$$s_t(X_1, \dots, X_t) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_t} (\text{sg}\sigma) X_{\sigma(1)} X_{\sigma(2)} \dots X_{\sigma(t)},$$

where S_t is the symmetric group on $\{1, \dots, t\}$ and $(\text{sg}\sigma)$ is the sign of the permutation $\sigma \in S_t$. The standard polynomial s_t is homogeneous of degree t , multilinear and alternating. If t is odd then $s_t(1, X_2, \dots, X_t) = s_{t-1}(X_2, \dots, X_t)$. Thus s_{2t} is an identity of R if and only if s_{2t+1} is an identity of R .

The Amitsur-Levitski theorem asserts that $M_n(F)$ satisfies any standard polynomial of degree $2n$ or higher. Moreover, if $M_n(F)$ satisfies a polynomial of degree $2n$, then the polynomial is a scalar multiple of s_{2n} (cf. [AL50]).

The standard polynomial s_{2n} is a minimal identity in the sense that $M_n(F)$ satisfies no polynomial identity of degree less than $2n$. More generally, if A is a subalgebra of $M_n(F)$

isomorphic to a full block upper triangular matrix algebra,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boxed{*} & & & \\ & \boxed{*} & & * \\ & & \ddots & \\ & 0 & & \boxed{*} \end{pmatrix},$$

then A satisfies no polynomial identity of degree less than $2n$. To prove this assertion, note that every full block upper triangular matrix algebra contains the “staircase sequence” $e_{11}, e_{12}, e_{22}, e_{23}, \dots, e_{(n-1)(n-1)}, e_{(n-1)n}, e_{nn}$, and

$$(1.1) \quad s_{2n-1}(e_{11}, e_{12}, e_{22}, e_{23}, \dots, e_{(n-1)(n-1)}, e_{(n-1)n}, e_{nn}) = e_{1n},$$

where the e_{ij} are the standard matrix units.

In § 2 we provide the building blocks for the main theorem of this paper and its proof. This proof and some of its consequences are presented in § 3. For polynomial identities in ring theory and the polynomial identities of $n \times n$ matrices, [Fo91] and [Ro80] are suggested general references.

2. BUILDING BLOCKS

Lemma 2.1. *Let A be a simple F -subalgebra of $M_n(F)$. Then either $A = M_n(F)$ or A satisfies the identity $s_{2n-2}(A) = 0$.*

Proof. By assumption, A is a finite dimensional central simple algebra over its center k . Let K denote the algebraic closure of k ; then $A \otimes_k K$ is a simple K -algebra in a natural way (cf. [Ro80], §1.8), with $\dim_K(A \otimes_k K) = \dim_k(A)$. Also, $A \otimes_k K \cong M_t(K)$ for some $t \leq n$. Suppose that A is a proper subalgebra of $M_n(F)$. It follows that $t < n$. Hence, by the Amitsur-Levitski theorem, $A \otimes_k K$ satisfies s_{2n-2} , and the result follows since A is embedded as a k algebra in $A \otimes_k K$. $\square$

Let ℓ, m be positive integers such that $\ell + m = n$ and set

$$E_{(\ell, m)}(F) = \begin{bmatrix} M_\ell(F) & M_{\ell \times m}(F) \\ 0 & M_m(F) \end{bmatrix},$$

an F -subalgebra of $M_n(F)$.

(i) Associated to $E_{(\ell, m)}(F)$ are canonical F -algebra homomorphisms

$$\pi_\ell: E_{(\ell, m)}(F) \rightarrow M_\ell(F) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_m: E_{(\ell, m)}(F) \rightarrow M_m(F).$$

Further identify $M_\ell(F)$ and $M_m(F)$ with

$$\begin{bmatrix} M_\ell(F) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M_m(F) \end{bmatrix},$$

respectively.

- (ii) Associated to a subalgebra A of $E_{(\ell,m)}(F)$ are homomorphic image subalgebras A_ℓ and A_m in $M_\ell(F)$ and $M_m(F)$ respectively.
- (iii) Set

$$T_{(\ell,m)}(F) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & M_{\ell \times m} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

the Jacobson radical of $E_{(\ell,m)}(F)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let A be a subalgebra of $E_{(\ell,m)}(F)$ such that A_ℓ satisfies s_q for some $q \leq 2\ell$ and A_m satisfies s_r for some $r \leq 2m$. Then A satisfies s_{q+r} .*

Proof. Let $t = q + r$. As an F -vector space, $E_{(\ell,m)}(F) = M_\ell(F) \oplus T_{(\ell,m)}(F) \oplus M_m(F)$. Thus each matrix x in A can be written as $x = a + b + c$ with $a \in A_\ell$, $b \in T_{(\ell,m)}$ and $c \in A_m$. Using linearity, we expand completely $s_t(x_1, \dots, x_t)$ and further use the following rules to simplify some of the terms:

- (1) $T_{(\ell,m)}(F)$ is a nilpotent ideal of $E_{(\ell,m)}(F)$, with $T_{(\ell,m)}^2(F) = 0$, and so each term in the expansion containing more than one entry in $T_{(\ell,m)}(F)$ equals 0.
- (2) $M_\ell(F)M_m(F) = M_m(F)M_\ell(F) = 0$.
- (3) $M_m(F)T_{(\ell,m)}(F) = T_{(\ell,m)}(F)M_\ell(F) = 0$.

We obtain

$$(2.2) \quad s_t(x_1, \dots, x_t) = \sum_{i=0}^{t+1} \sum_{\sigma \in S_t} (\text{sg} \sigma) a_{\sigma(1)} \dots a_{\sigma(i-1)} b_{\sigma(i)} c_{\sigma(i+1)} \dots c_{\sigma(t)}.$$

Fixing $i > q$, and given $\tau, \sigma \in S_t$, we say that τ is i -equivalent to σ , if τ restricted to the final interval $[i, t]$ equals the restriction of σ to the same domain. In symbols,

$$\tau \sim_i \sigma \iff \tau|_{[i, t]} = \sigma|_{[i, t]}.$$

For each $i > q$, the relation $\sim_i$ yields a partition of S_t into disjoint subsets P_i^k , $k = 1, \dots, \frac{t!}{(i-1)!}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma \in S_t} (\text{sg} \sigma) a_{\sigma(1)} \dots a_{\sigma(i-1)} b_{\sigma(i)} c_{\sigma(i+1)} \dots c_{\sigma(t)} &= \\ &= \sum_k \sum_{\sigma \in P_i^k} (\text{sg} \sigma) a_{\sigma(1)} \dots a_{\sigma(i-1)} b_{\sigma(i)} c_{\sigma(i+1)} \dots c_{\sigma(t)} \\ &= \sum_k (\text{sg} \sigma_k) s_{i-1}(a_{\sigma_k(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma_k(i-1)}) b_{\sigma_k(i)} c_{\sigma_k(i+1)} \dots c_{\sigma_k(t)}, \end{aligned}$$

where σ_k is a representative of the class P_i^k . The last equality follows from the fact that for any $\sigma \in P_i^k$, $\sigma = \tau \circ \sigma_k$ for some $\tau \in S_{i-1} \subseteq S_t$, and $(\text{sg}\sigma) = (\text{sg}\tau)(\text{sg}\sigma_k)$. By assumption, A_ℓ satisfies s_q , and since $i-1 \geq q$ we obtain

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_t} (\text{sg}\sigma) a_{\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{\sigma(i-1)} b_{\sigma(i)} c_{\sigma(i+1)} \cdots c_{\sigma(t)} = 0.$$

This shows that

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{i=q+1}^{t+1} \sum_{\sigma \in S_t} \text{sg}(\sigma) a_{\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{\sigma(i-1)} b_{\sigma(i)} c_{\sigma(i+1)} \cdots c_{\sigma(t)} = 0.$$

For $i \leq q$ we have that $t-i \geq r$. Applying a similar argument to the above, and using the fact that A_m satisfies s_r , we see that also

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{i=0}^q \sum_{\sigma \in S_t} (\text{sg}\sigma) a_{\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{\sigma(i-1)} b_{\sigma(i)} c_{\sigma(i+1)} \cdots c_{\sigma(t)} = 0.$$

Together, Equations (2.3) and (2.4) ensure that $s_t(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$, given Equation (2.2). $\square$

2.1. We now consider the case when A contains a “repetition”. We will need some more notation.

(i) Let $M_1, \dots, M_t$ be matrices in A ,

$$M_k = \begin{bmatrix} a_k & b_k & c_k \\ 0 & e_k & d_k \\ 0 & 0 & a_k \end{bmatrix}, \quad a_k \in M_\ell(F), e_k \in M_m(F), b_k \in M_{\ell \times m}(F), d_k \in M_{m \times \ell}(F).$$

Given $1 \leq i < j \leq t$ and $\sigma \in S_t$, set

$$m_t^\sigma[i, j] = (\text{sg}\sigma) a_{\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{\sigma(i-1)} b_{\sigma(i)} e_{\sigma(i+1)} \cdots e_{\sigma(j-1)} d_{\sigma(j)} a_{\sigma(j+1)} \cdots a_{\sigma(t)},$$

and denote by W the set of all matrix products

$$\{m_t^\sigma[i, j] : \sigma \in S_t \text{ and } 1 \leq i < j \leq t\}.$$

(ii) The projection ur returns the $\ell \times \ell$ upper right block of a matrix in A :

$$ur \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ 0 & e & d \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{bmatrix} = c$$

(iii) Given $n \times n$ matrices $M_1, \dots, M_t$, we say that a matrix product $M_1 \cdots M_t$ *formally contains* the factor $A_1 \cdots A_s$ if $A_1 = M_\ell, A_2 = M_{\ell+1}, \dots, A_s = M_{\ell+s-1}$, for some $1 \leq \ell \leq t$. This notation is to distinguish to the case when $CA_1 \cdots A_s D = M_1 \cdots M_t$ as $n \times n$ matrices,

for some matrices C and D . Further, if $\ell = 1$, we say that $M_1 \cdots M_t$ formally contains $A_1 \cdots A_s$ as *left factor*.

This is a good place to record a Lemma extracted from [AL50], which will be used later.

Lemma 2.3. [AL50, Lemma 1, 450-451] *If for an odd positive integer r we put $Y = X_{i+1} \cdots X_{i+r}$, and if s' denotes the sum of all terms of $s_m(X)$ containing the common factor Y , then*

$$s' = s_{m-r+1}(X_1, \dots, X_i, Y, X_{i+r+1}, \dots, X_m).$$

Lemma 2.4. *Set $t = 2(\ell + m)$, and let $M_1, \dots, M_t$ be matrices in A such that for all $1 \leq k \leq t$,*

$$M_k = \begin{bmatrix} a_k & b_k & 0 \\ 0 & e_k & d_k \\ 0 & 0 & a_k \end{bmatrix}, \text{ for } a_k \in M_\ell(F), e_k \in M_m(F), b_k \in M_{\ell \times m}(F), d_k \in M_{m \times \ell}(F).$$

Then $ur[s_t(M_1, \dots, M_t)] = 0$.

Proof. First we observe that

$$ur[M_1 \cdots M_t] = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq t} a_{\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{\sigma(i-1)} b_{\sigma(i)} e_{\sigma(i+1)} \cdots e_{\sigma(j-1)} d_{\sigma(j)} a_{\sigma(j+1)} \cdots a_{\sigma(t)},$$

which implies that

$$(2.5) \quad ur[s_t(M_1, \dots, M_t)] = \sum_{\sigma \in S_t} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq t} m_t^\sigma[i, j].$$

To prove that $ur[s_t(M_1, \dots, M_t)] = 0$, we split the right hand side into two summands:

$$(2.6) \quad ur[s_t(M_1, \dots, M_t)] = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_t \\ j-i-1 \geq 2m}} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq t} m_t^\sigma[i, j] + \sum_{\sigma \in S_t} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq t \\ j-i \leq 2m}} m_t^\sigma[i, j]$$

Our goal is to show that each summand in (2.6) is zero. To handle the first summand we introduce the following new equivalence relation on S_t . Given fixed $1 \leq i < j \leq t$, such that $j - i - 1 \geq 2m$, and given $\tau, \sigma \in S_t$, say that τ is $[i, j]$ -equivalent to σ if τ restricted to the initial and final intervals $[1, i]$ and $[j, t]$ equals the restriction of σ to the same domain. In symbols,

$$\tau \sim_{[i, j]} \sigma \iff \tau|_{[1, i]} = \sigma|_{[1, i]} \text{ and } \tau|_{[j, t]} = \sigma|_{[j, t]}$$

For each pair i, j , such that $1 \leq i < j \leq t$ and $j - i - 1 \geq 2m$, the relation $\sim_{[i,j]}$ yields a partition of S_t into disjoint subsets $P_{[i,j]}^k$, $k = 1, \dots, \frac{t!}{(j-i-1)!}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma \in S_t} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq t \\ j-i-1 \geq 2m}} m_t^\sigma[i, j] &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq t \\ j-i-1 \geq 2m}} \sum_k \sum_{\sigma \in P_{[i,j]}^k} m_t^\sigma[i, j] = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq t \\ j-i-1 \geq 2m}} \sum_k \sum_{\sigma \in P_{[i,j]}^k} (\text{sg } \sigma) a_{\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{\sigma(i-1)} b_{\sigma(i)} e_{\sigma(i+1)} \cdots e_{\sigma(j-1)} d_{\sigma(j)} a_{\sigma(j+1)} \cdots a_{\sigma(t)} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq t \\ j-i-1 \geq 2m}} \sum_k (\text{sg } \sigma_k) a_{\sigma_k(1)} \cdots a_{\sigma_k(i-1)} b_{\sigma_k(i)} s d_{\sigma_k(j)} a_{\sigma_k(j+1)} \cdots a_{\sigma_k(t)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $s = s_{i-j+1}(e_{\sigma_k(i+1)}, \dots, e_{\sigma_k(j-1)})$ and σ_k is a representative of the class $P_{[i,j]}^k$. Since $j - i - 1 \geq 2m$,

$$s_{i-j+1}(e_{\sigma_k(i+1)}, \dots, e_{\sigma_k(j-1)}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } k,$$

hence

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_t} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq t \\ j-i-1 \geq 2m}} m_t^\sigma[i, j] = 0.$$

This takes care of the first term in (2.6). We now turn to the second summand. For a given q , with $2 \leq q \leq t$, denote by R_q the set of all q -tuples $r = (r_1, \dots, r_q)$ of different elements from $\{1, \dots, t\}$ and by $T_{(r_1, \dots, r_q)}$ the set of matrix products w formally containing the common factor $b_{r_1} e_{r_2} \cdots e_{r_{q-1}} d_{r_q}$. Considering all possible q and q -tuples, the sets $T_{(r_1, \dots, r_q)}$ form a partition of W . We are interested in the case when $q \leq 2m + 1$. Observe that

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_t} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq t \\ j-i \leq 2m}} m_t^\sigma[i, j] = \sum_{q=2}^{2m+1} \sum_{r \in R_q} \sum_{w \in T_{(r_1, \dots, r_q)}} w.$$

Fix q odd, a q -tuple $(r_1, \dots, r_q)$, and the corresponding set of matrix products $T_{(r_1, \dots, r_q)}$. Then, $\sum_{w \in T_{(r_1, \dots, r_q)}} w$ is the sum of all matrix products formally containing the common factor $y = b_{r_1} e_{r_2} \cdots e_{r_{q-1}} d_{r_q}$. Each matrix product $w \in T_{(r_1, \dots, r_q)}$ corresponds uniquely to a permutation $\sigma \in S_t$ and a pair (i, j) , such that the q -tuple $(r_1, \dots, r_q)$ is the image under σ of $(i, \dots, j)$. Explicitly, the correspondence is $w = m_t^\sigma[i, j]$. We can now apply Lemma 2.3 and the alternating property of the standard polynomials. If $\sigma_0 \in S_t$ is a fixed permutation such that

$$\sigma_0: i \rightarrow r_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq q,$$

we have

$$\sum_{w \in T_{(r_1, \dots, r_q)}} w = (\text{sg } \sigma_0) s_{t-q+1} (y, a_{\sigma_0(q+1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma_0(t)})$$

where $y = b_{r_1} e_{r_2} \cdots e_{r_{q-1}} d_{r_q}$. Since $t - q + 1 \geq 2\ell$, and since all the arguments of s_{t-q+1} in the last equation are $\ell \times \ell$ matrices, it follows that

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{w \in T_{(r_1, \dots, r_q)}} w = 0, \text{ when } q \text{ is odd and } (r_1, \dots, r_q) \text{ is a fixed } q\text{-tuple.}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{\substack{q=2 \\ q \text{ odd}}}^{2m+1} \sum_{r \in R_q} \sum_{w \in T_{(r_1, \dots, r_q)}} w = 0.$$

Suppose now that q is even, so $q \leq 2m$, and fix an arbitrary q -tuple $r = (r_1, \dots, r_q)$. We will split further the sets T_r . First consider all $w \in T_r$ formally containing in common the left factor $y = b_{r_1} e_{r_2} \cdots e_{r_{q-1}} d_{r_q}$, and call this subset L_r . Then, for each $r_0 \notin \{r_1, \dots, r_q\}$ consider the $(q+1)$ -tuple (r_0, r) and the subset $G_{(r_0, r)}$ of $w \in T_r$ formally containing in common the factor $y = a_{r_0} b_{r_1} e_{r_2} \cdots e_{r_{q-1}} d_{r_q}$. The sum of all matrix products in the set T_r can be split as

$$\sum_{w \in T_r} w = \sum_{w \in L_r} w + \sum_{r_0: r_0 \neq r_1, \dots, r_q} \sum_{w \in G_{(r_0, r)}} w.$$

For the terms in L_r we have

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{w \in L_{(r_1, \dots, r_q)}} w = (\text{sg } \sigma_0) y s_{t-q} (a_{\sigma_0(q+1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma_0(t)}),$$

where $y = b_{r_1} e_{r_2} \cdots e_{r_{q-1}} d_{r_q}$, and where $\sigma_0 \in S_t$ is a fixed permutation such that

$$\sigma_0: i \rightarrow r_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq q.$$

Since $t - q \geq 2\ell$, we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{w \in L_r} w = 0.$$

Finally, for a suitable fixed r_0 , the sequence (r_0, r) has odd length, so we can argue as in (2.7) to obtain

$$\sum_{w \in G_{(r_0, r)}} w = (\text{sg } \sigma_0) s_{t-q+1} (y, a_{\sigma_0(q+2)}, \dots, a_{\sigma_0(t)}) = 0,$$

where $y = a_{r_0} b_{r_1} e_{r_2} \cdots e_{r_{q-1}} d_{r_q}$, and where $\sigma_0 \in S_t$ is a fixed permutation such that

$$\sigma_0 = \begin{cases} 1 \rightarrow r_0, \\ i \rightarrow r_{i-1}, & \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq q+1. \end{cases}$$

This finishes the proof of Lemma 2.4. $\square$

Proposition 2.5. *Let*

$$A = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ 0 & e & d \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{bmatrix} : a, c \in M_\ell(F), e \in M_m(F), b \in M_{\ell \times m}(F), d \in M_{m \times \ell}(F) \right\}.$$

Then, A satisfies $s_{2(\ell+m)}$.

Proof. For any t and matrices $M_k \in A$, $k = 1 \dots t$, set

$$M_k = \begin{bmatrix} a_k & b_k & c_k \\ 0 & e_k & d_k \\ 0 & 0 & a_k \end{bmatrix}, \quad a_k \in M_\ell(F), e_k \in M_m(F), b_k \in M_{\ell \times m}(F), d_k \in M_{m \times \ell}(F).$$

By direct calculations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ur}[s_t(M_1, \dots, M_t)] &= \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^t s_t(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, c_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_t) + \sum_{\sigma \in S_t} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq t} m_t^\sigma[i, j]. \end{aligned}$$

Now set $t = 2(\ell + m)$. It follows from (2.5) that

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_t} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq t} m_t^\sigma[i, j] = \text{ur}[s_t(M'_1, \dots, M'_t)] = 0,$$

where M'_k is the matrix in A obtained by replacing the upper right corner c_k of M_k by $0 \in M_\ell(F)$. Suitable applications of the Amitsur-Levitski identity give us

$$\text{ur}[s_t(M_1, \dots, M_t)] = 0,$$

$$s_t \left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & e_1 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} a_t & b_t \\ 0 & e_t \end{bmatrix} \right) = 0,$$

and

$$s_t \left(\begin{bmatrix} e_1 & d_1 \\ 0 & a_1 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} e_t & d_t \\ 0 & a_t \end{bmatrix} \right) = 0.$$

Combining the three equations, it follows that $s_t(M_1, \dots, M_t) = 0$. $\square$

3. MAIN THEOREM

In this section we prove that if a matrix subalgebra of $M_n(F)$ does not satisfy the standard polynomial s_{2n-2} , then it is isomorphic as F -algebra to a full block upper triangular matrix algebra.

3.1. We first introduce our notation and review some necessary background (cf. [Le02]).

(i) Let t be a positive integer, let $\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_t$ be positive integers summing up to n , and set

$$E_{(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_t)}(F) = \begin{bmatrix} M_{\ell_1}(F) & M_{\ell_1 \times \ell_2}(F) & \cdots & M_{\ell_1 \times \ell_{t-1}}(F) & M_{\ell_1 \times \ell_t}(F) \\ 0 & M_{\ell_2}(F) & \cdots & M_{\ell_2 \times \ell_{t-1}}(F) & M_{\ell_2 \times \ell_t}(F) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & M_{\ell_{t-1}}(F) & M_{\ell_{t-1} \times \ell_t}(F) \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & M_{\ell_t}(F) \end{bmatrix},$$

a full block upper triangular matrix subalgebra of $M_n(F)$.

(ii) Recall that every F -algebra automorphism τ of $M_n(F)$ is inner (i.e., there exists an invertible Q in $M_n(F)$ such that $\tau(a) = QaQ^{-1}$ for all $a \in M_n(F)$). We will say that two F -subalgebras A, A' of $M_n(F)$ are *equivalent* provided there exists an automorphism τ of $M_n(F)$ such that $\tau(A) = A'$.

(iii) Associated to $E_{(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_t)}(F)$ are canonical F -algebra homomorphisms

$$\pi_{ij} : E_{(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_t)}(F) \rightarrow E_{(\ell_i, \ell_{i+1}, \dots, \ell_j)}(F), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq j \leq t.$$

When $i = j$ we write π_i for π_{ii} . For a subalgebra Λ of $E_{(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_t)}(F)$, we have the homomorphic images:

$$\Lambda_{ij} := \pi_{ij}(\Lambda),$$

embedded in $E_{(\ell_i, \ell_{i+1}, \dots, \ell_j)}$.

(iv) We will say that a subalgebra Λ of $E_{(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_t)}(F)$ is an $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_t)$ -*extension of simple blocks* if the restrictions $\pi_i : \Lambda \rightarrow M_{\ell_i}(F)$, for $1 \leq i \leq t$, are all irreducible representations (when F is algebraically closed, of course, the representation π_i is irreducible if and only if $\pi_i(\Lambda) = M_{\ell_i}$). Note that, every F -subalgebra A of $M_n(F)$ is equivalent to an $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_t)$ -extension of simple blocks Λ for some suitable $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_t)$.

(v) Further we will say that Λ *contains a repetition* when

$$\pi_i : \Lambda \rightarrow M_{\ell_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_j : \Lambda \rightarrow M_{\ell_j}$$

are equivalent representations, for some $1 \leq i < j \leq t$ (and so $\ell_i = \ell_j$). Also, Λ is *uniserial* when $\Lambda_{i(i+1)}$ is not semisimple, for all $1 \leq i \leq (t-1)$.

Lemma 3.1. *If an extension of simple blocks Λ contains a repetition, then the standard identity $s_{2n-2} = 0$ holds for Λ .*

Proof. Assume $\pi_i : \Lambda \rightarrow M_{\ell_i}$ and $\pi_j : \Lambda \rightarrow M_{\ell_j}$ are equivalent representations for some $1 \leq i < j \leq t$. Then we can choose an F -algebra automorphism τ of $M_n(F)$ such that

$\pi_{ij}(\tau(\Lambda))$ is a subalgebra of

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ 0 & e & d \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{bmatrix} : a, c \in M_{\ell_i}(F), e \in M_{\ell_{i+1}}(F), b \in M_{\ell_i \times \ell_{i+1}}(F), d \in M_{\ell_{i+1} \times \ell_i}(F) \right\}.$$

The result now follows from Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 2.5. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. *If an extension of simple blocks Λ is not uniserial, then the standard identity $s_{2n-2} = 0$ holds for Λ .*

Proof. Follows immediately from Lemma 2.2. $\square$

Theorem 3.3. *Let F be a field and let A be an F -subalgebra of $M_n(F)$. If A does not satisfy the standard polynomial s_{2n-2} , then A is equivalent to a full block upper triangular matrix algebra.*

Proof. It suffices to show that the only $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_t)$ -extension of simple blocks Λ for which the standard polynomial s_{2n-2} is not an identity is the full block upper triangular matrix algebra $E_{(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_t)}(F)$. By Lemma 2.1, $\Lambda_i = M_{\ell_i}(F)$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$. By Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.1, $\Lambda_{i(i+1)}(F)$ is not semisimple and does not contain a repetition, for each $1 \leq i \leq t-1$. We conclude that (cf. [Le02], Lemma 3.6)

$$\Lambda_{i(i+1)}(F) = M_{\ell_i \times \ell_{i+1}}(F), \quad \text{for each } 1 \leq i \leq t-1.$$

Therefore, Λ contains the staircase unit matrices (c.f. (1.1)), and every unit matrix e_{ij} , for $j > i$ can be expressed as a product of those. The Theorem now follows. $\square$

Corollary 3.4. *The standard polynomial s_{2n-2} is an identity for any proper subalgebra of $U_n(F)$, the algebra of upper triangular matrices over the field F .*

Proof. Immediate from Theorem 3.3. $\square$

Remark The standard polynomial of degree $2n-2$ is not necessarily an identity for any proper subalgebra of $U_n(C)$ when C is a commutative ring: Let I be a nonzero ideal of C , and consider the C -subalgebra B of $U_n(C)$ defined by the property that the $(1, 2)$ -entry of matrices in B lie in I . A staircase argument shows that $s_{2n-2}(B) \neq 0$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The results in this paper are part of the author's Ph.D. thesis at Temple University, and the author thanks his advisor Edward Letzter for his help and guidance.

REFERENCES

- [**AL50**] S. A. Amitsur and J. Levitski, Minimal identities for algebras. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 1, (1950), 449–463.
- [**Ka48**] I. Kaplansky, Rings with a polynomial identity. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 54, (1948), 575–580.
- [**Fo91**] E. Formanek, The polynomial identities and invariants of $n \times n$ matrices. CBMS Regional conference series in mathematics, 78. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1991.
- [**Le02**] E. Letzter, Effective detection of nonsplit module extensions. <http://arxiv.org/math.RA/0206141>.
- [**Ro80**] L. H. Rowen, Polynomial identities in ring theory. Academic Press, New York-London, 1980.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & COMPUTER SCIENCE, NAZARETH COLLEGE, 4245 EAST AVENUE,
ROCHESTER, NY 14618-3790

E-mail address: `birmajer@alumni.temple.edu`